

From: [Rose Longoria](#)
To: [Robert Myers/DC/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Michael Karnosh](#)
Cc: [Judy Smith/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Chip Humphrey/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Jason-White@cherokee.org](#); [dinoc@santaclarapueblo.org](#); [TKent@quapawtribe.com](#); [Andrew Baca/DC/USEPA/US@EPA](#); [Kristine Koch/R10/USEPA/US@EPA](#)
Subject: Re: presentation at the site assessment conference on the portland harbor superfund site
Date: 03/11/2011 09:55 AM

Hi Bob -

Thank you for the information provided regarding the Site Assessment Conference in Portland. I am happy to provide my responses to your questions regarding the format of the presentation.

You had several questions, but it seems like the deciding factor in determining the format for the presentation would be whether we have a separate Portland Harbor discussion or combine with other site discussions. I believe there are several factors that would warrant a separate presentation for a Tribal Perspectives on the Portland Harbor NPL site cleanup presentation. So my vote would be to have this presentation be a stand alone topic.

As a stand alone topic, I would recommend no more than a one-hour timeframe with the following outline:

- 1) General Site Background (Judy or Kristine or Chip) -- 10 minutes
- 2) Tribal Involvement / Perspectives (Mike K. and Rose L.) -- 15 minutes each
- 3) Q and A -- 20 minutes

The presentations could be with or without PPTs, depending on the speaker preference.

This is my recommendation, but I am very flexible and happy to accommodate the needs or wants of others. In order to finalize a format for the presentation I would recommend a planning conference call to sort out the details. Also, I would like a little more information on the goals and objectives of the presentation. Since I have never attended a Site Assessment Conference I am also wondering about the needs of the participants/audience.

Finally, since this is a "site assessment" conference, I am assuming that our focus will be on the cleanup side and not on the NRDA side. If my assumption is incorrect please let me know.

Thank you,
rose

On 3/9/11 8:57 AM, "Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov"
<Myers.Robert@epamail.epa.gov> wrote:

>
>Rose/Michael - I wanted to thank you both for volunteering to present
>your views on the Portland Harbor NPL site cleanup. We are trying to
>get a site involving tribal concerns, and since we are meeting in
>Portland, the discussion would be ideal. I'm looking forward to the two
>of you and Judy discussing the issues.
>
>Our meeting is June 28 - 30, with training on Tuesday (28th) and
>presentations on Wednesday and Thursday. Your presentation will be
>Thursday, June 30, although I'm not certain when on that day. To get a
>better of idea of where the discussion might fit, I'm wondering what
>>would be an appropriate length of time for the discussion. Would you
>>want to present any slides or powerpoint, or just talk? Would you like
>for me to provide a little background, or Judy, would you like to do
>that? We can either have a separate discussion, or make it part of a
>discussion of interesting sites, where you'd have less time (maybe 30
>-45 minutes).
>I'm also trying to see if we can have a separate meeting of the tribal
>superfund working group on Thursday afternoon. The group would like a
>tribal case study (as this site would be) and then we could have tribal
>followup on this site as well as other issues tribes would like to
>discuss. However, this is dependent on how many tribes can make it to
>Portland, so I am not certain this will occur.
>
>Please email me any ideas you have.
>
>I'm also sending this note to Andrew Baca, our OSWER office tribal
>coordinator, and 3 tribal representatives of the working group (Jason
>White, Tim Kent and Dino Chavarria) who I hope will be able to attend
>the conference.
>Thanks.
>Bob Myers
>703-603-8851
>